



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

W

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,182	04/08/2004	Tsutomu Sato	04329.3299	7391

22852 7590 11/01/2006

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

KRAIG, WILLIAM F

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2815

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/820,182	SATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William Kraig	2815	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 14 and 21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3, 5-13 and 15 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

The Examiner's previous objections to the claims are withdrawn in light of the Applicant's amendments to the claims dated 8/14/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 14 and 21 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6433609 to Voldman.

With regards to claim 1, Fig. 1B of Voldman illustrates a semiconductor substrate (1, 2, 3, 5) including a main surface (surface of 3 and 5); a MOSFET including a double gate structure 7 and 8 provided on a side of the main surface of the semiconductor substrate, the double gate structure comprising top 7 and bottom 8 gate electrodes, the bottom gate electrode 8 being located at a lower level than the main surface (See Fig. 1B); and an isolation region 9 for isolating the MOSFET from other elements comprising a trench (trench is the area in which isolation region 9 is formed) provided on the side of the main surface of the semiconductor substrate and an insulator 9 provided in the trench, the isolation region having a region in the trench around the MOSFET, the region having a deeper bottom than other regions in the

Art Unit: 2815

trench (it can be seen in Fig. 1B that a portion of insulator 9 extends deeper into the substrate than other portions of the insulator 9).

Regarding claim 2, Voldman discloses the semiconductor device of claim 1, wherein the bottom gate electrode 8 is provided in the semiconductor substrate; a part of the side of the main surface of the semiconductor substrate (3) is placed between the top gate electrode and bottom gate electrode, and the MOSFET further comprises:

a top gate insulating film 6 provided between the top gate electrode and the semiconductor substrate; and a bottom gate insulating film 6 provided between the semiconductor substrate below the top gate electrode and the bottom gate electrode.

Regarding claim 4, Voldman discloses a semiconductor substrate (1, 2, 3, 5); a MOSFET including a double gate structure, the double gate structure including a top gate electrode 7 and a bottom 8 gate electrode, provided on the semiconductor substrate (see Fig. 1B); and

an isolation region 9 for isolating the MOSFET from other elements comprising a trench (trench is the area in which isolation region 9 is formed) provided on a surface of the semiconductor substrate and an insulator 9 provided in the trench, the isolation region having a region in the trench around the MOSFET, the region having a deeper bottom than other regions in the trench (it

can be seen in Fig. 1B that a portion of insulator 9 extends deeper into the substrate than other portions of the insulator 9)

wherein the semiconductor substrate (1, 2, 3, 5) is provided with at least one empty space (space in substrate filled by bottom gate electrode 8 and gate insulator 6), and the bottom gate electrode 8 and a bottom gate insulating film 6 are provided in the at least one empty space (see Fig. 1B).

Regarding claim 14, Voldman discloses the semiconductor device according to claim 4, wherein the at least one empty space (space in substrate filled by bottom gate electrode 8 and gate insulator 6) comprises an upper wall (bottom of 5 and 3) which includes a flat region (see Fig. 1B).

Regarding claim 21, Voldman discloses the semiconductor device according to claim 1, wherein the whole of the region having the deeper bottom (the region including the portion of insulator 9 that can be seen in Fig. 1B to be extending deeper into the substrate than other portions of the insulator 9) is located under the top gate electrode (it can be seen in Fig. 1B that said portion of insulator 9 is located at a level that can be described as being under the level at which the top gate electrode is disposed).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5-13 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 3, the closest prior art does not teach the MOSFET further comprising a side gate electrode and a side gate insulating film provided in the region having the deeper bottom than the other regions in the trench around the MOSFET, and a part of the insulator being provided in the region having the deeper bottom than the other regions under the side gate electrode in combination with the additionally claimed features, as is claimed by the Applicant. Thus, the Applicant's claim is determined to be novel and non-obvious.

Regarding claims 5-12 and 15, the closest prior art does not teach the trench opening a part of an upper wall of an at least one empty space, and a side gate insulating film and a side gate electrode being provided on a side of the semiconductor substrate on the at least one empty space opened by said trench in combination with the additionally claimed features, as is claimed by the Applicant. Thus, the Applicant's claim is determined to be novel and non-obvious.

Regarding claim 13, the closest prior art does not teach a part of the at least one empty space remaining unfilled by the bottom gate electrode and the bottom gate insulating film in combination with the additionally claimed features, as is claimed by the Applicant. Thus, the Applicant's claim is determined to be novel and non-obvious.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Nagano et al., Hanafi et al., Chan et al., Hackler, Sr. et al. and Lin et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Kraig whose telephone number is 571-272-8660. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WFK
10/25/2006

EUGENE LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

